UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

JOHN DOE,

CASE NO.:

Plaintiff,

٧.

EJERCITO DE LIBERACION NACIONAL, a/k/a ELN, a/k/a National Liberation Army, FUERZAS ARMADAS REVOLUCIONARIOS DE COLOMBIA, a/k/a FARC, a/k/a REVOLUTIONARY ARMED FORCES OF COLOMBIA,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the Plaintiff, John Doe, who brings this action within this Court's jurisdiction for damages caused by Defendants by international criminal actions in violation of the laws of nations and the laws of the United States.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

In this action, Plaintiff seeks damages from Defendants who, while acting in criminal conspiracy, kidnapped Plaintiff in Venezuela, trafficked him internationally, and held him hostage and tortured him for nearly a year in a part of Colombia over which they exercised dominion, leaving him a physically, emotionally, financially and psychologically broken man.

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, John Doe, is a Resident Alien of the United States, a resident of this District, and a citizen of Venezuela.

- 2. Defendant, the National Liberation Army, an English translation of the Spanish name of the group Ejercito de Liberacion Nacional (hereinafter referred to as ELN), is an armed and violent organization in the Republic of Colombia. Since its inception in the early 1960s, ELN has targeted both Colombian and foreign nationals while engaged in terrorist activity that includes murder, hostage taking, and the violent destruction of property.
- 3. Defendant, the Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia, an English translation of the Spanish name of the group Fuerzas Armadas Revolucionarias de Colombia (hereinafter referred to as FARC), is the largest armed and violent organization in the Republic of Colombia. Since forming in the early 1960s, FARC has targeted both Colombian and foreign nationals while engaged in terrorist activity that include murder, hostage taking, and the violent destruction of property.
- 4. Defendants ELN and FARC have from time to time cooperated and coordinated their efforts, including, inter alia, cooperating in the kidnapping, and torture perpetrated on plaintiff as alleged herein, as well as the international trafficking of narcotics, each of which activity supports the other.

JURISDICTION

5. This action is brought pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1350 (Alien Tort Statute), and 18 U.S.C. § 1961-1968 (Civil Rico).

- 6. Federal subject matter jurisdiction exists for an Alien Tort Statute (ATS) claim when the following three elements are satisfied: (1) an alien (2) sues for a tort (3) committed in violation of the law of nations. 28 U.S.C. § 1350. Plaintiff is an alien suing for torts committed in violation of the laws of nation, including international kidnapping, international trafficking, and torture committed by quasi-state entities. Federal subject matter exists under Civil Rico where acts are taken in furtherance of a criminal conspiracy with effects on the United States. FARC and ELN are criminal conspiracies with effects on the United States. FARC and ELN engage in their acts of hostage-taking and torture in part to control parts of Colombia that they use to support their narcotics trafficking efforts in the United States, and vice versa. matter concerns federal questions as it alleges a cause of action for Civil RICO and causes of action for violations of the law of nations, which are part of federal common law.
- 7. Jurisdiction over this matter and over the defendants is further predicated upon 18 U.S.C. § 1965, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(1)(c), and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(k)(2).

BACKGROUND FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. ELN and FARC are the largest guerilla/insurgent groups in Colombia. At all times relevant to this action, they administered approximately 50% of the Colombian National Territory.
- 9. In 1998, then President Andres Pastrana recognized the FARC's authority and ceded to them five municipalities equivalent to the

size of Switzerland, an area still largely controlled by the FARC. Since the 1980s, the FARC has lobbied to be treated as a state, particularly a "state of belligerence" as that term is defined by the Geneva Conventions of August 12, 1949 and additional protocols.

- 10. The U.S. Department of State has designated ELN and FARC as a Foreign Terrorist Organizations pursuant to Title 8, U.S.C. § 1189. U.S. Dept. of State, Office of the Coordinator for Counterterrorism, Feb. 5, 2010, http://www.state.gov/s/ct/rls/other/des/123085.htm
- 11. According to the U.S. Department of State, ELN and FARC have committed the following human rights abuses: political killings; kidnappings and forced disappearances; massive forced displacements; infringement on citizens' privacy rights; restrictions on freedom of movement; widespread recruitment of child soldiers; attacks against human rights activists; and harassment, intimidation, and killings of teachers and trade unionists. U.S. Dept. of State, Bureau of W. Hemisphere Affairs, Feb. 5, 2010, (http://www.state.gov/r/pa/ei/bgn/35754.htm).
- 12. ELN and FARC are quasi-state paramilitary organizations that operate in a defined territory, are self-controlled and engage in formal relations with other entities.
- 13. ELN and FARC operate outside of the authority of the Colombian state. According to the U.S. Department of State, ELN and FARC have committed killings of off-duty members of the public security

forces and officials; and subornation and intimidation of judges, prosecutors, and witnesses.

- 14. International kidnapping is a recognized violation of international law and the law of nations. It is akin to piracy in that it is a violation of the law of nations even when committed by non-states.
- 15. At all times relevant hereto, ELN and FARC collaborated, conspired, aided and abetted and acted as the agents of each other.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SPECIFIC TO PLAINTIFF

- 16. On or about September 27, 1997 the Plaintiff, John Doe, was returning from a business trip and traveling by bus from Colombia to Venezuela on business.
- 17. Along with many other vehicles, the passenger bus he was riding in was stopped at the foot of the Puente Internaciónal Simon Bolivar in San Antonio del Tachira, Venezuela, which connects the Colombian city of Cucuta to Venezuela.
- 18. ELN stopped the bus on the Venezuelan side of the border, took John Doe hostage, along with other passengers, ordered the hostages into a truck under threat of arms, placed bags over all of the hostages' heads, including the Plaintiff, and transported the hostages back across the border into Colombia, and further transported them for nine to ten hours, until reaching the remote jungle in Colombia.
- 19. ELN officers mistakenly identified Plaintiff as the mayor of Cucuta. They beat John Doe. These beatings left John Doe bleeding and with most of his upper teeth broken. After breaking Plaintiff's teeth, ELN

officers proceeded to brand John Doe's upper lip with pliers leaving a gaping hole in that area.

- 20. Once in the remote jungle, Plaintiff was interrogated and repeatedly beaten by ELN officers. Because John Doe insisted he was not the mayor of Cucuta and that he did not understand why he was there or what the ELN wanted, ELN officers removed all of Plaintiff's toenails, shot him in the ankle and then forced him to walk. The ranking officer then ordered John Doe killed.
- 21. John Doe pleaded for his life with the ELN. He told them his family had money and that his family would pay a ransom. When ELN was able to corroborate his story, they categorized him as a financial/economic capture.
- 22. Approximately two weeks after his kidnapping, ELN sold John Doe to the FARC.
- 23. While held by FARC, Plaintiff was made to walk for hours on a daily basis. On many occasions he attempted to escape, unsuccessfully. Each failed attempt brought with it bouts of torture and beatings.
- 24. Because John Doe attempted to escape many times, FARC ordered Plaintiff to dig a hole that would be used as a cell to punish him. When placed in the hole, Plaintiff was chained at the leg to prevent any attempts of escape. John Doe was forced to urinate and defecate in the same area where he sat and slept.

- 25. During the time Plaintiff was in captivity, he and the other prisoners were scarcely given food. Because of the extreme hunger, Plaintiff was forced to eat whatever he found in the earth, which most of the time was nothing. Driven by hunger and thirst, he resorted to eating his own fingernails and flesh and drinking his own urine.
- 26. John Doe and other prisoners were raped and forced to perform sexual acts in view of FARC members and other prisoners
- 27. On several occasions, Plaintiff, together with other hostages, were lined up for an execution style firing squad assimilating an execution using blank bullets. There were times when prisoners were shot and those lucky enough to survive were forced to dig holes for the dead bodies.
- 28. John Doe was held captive for a period of approximately eleven months. FARC demanded an \$8,800,000.00 ransom from the family. In order to pay for his freedom, the family sold all of their belongings. He was finally released when his family paid \$6,800,000.00 dollars in ransom, with the remaining \$2,000,000.00 still owed to the FARC.
- 29. As a result of John Doe's eleven month imprisonment with ELN and FARC, he suffers from hydrocephalus; infection in both of his feet from the removal of all the toenails and from the constant walking without shoes and without care for his feet; major teeth and jaw injuries resulting in multiple oral surgeries and the implantation of dentures; two reconstructive facial surgeries; and endured multiple ophthalmological

surgeries. He also suffered and continues to suffer extreme psychological trauma.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 30. At all times relevant to this Complaint, ELN and FARC conspired to kidnap, traffic, threaten, imprison, torture, and physically and psychologically harm the Plaintiff.
- 31. At all times relevant to this Complaint, ELN and FARC used means of state repression to kidnap, traffic, threaten, imprison, torture, and physically and psychologically harm the Plaintiff in violation of international law.
- 32. The acts described herein were inflicted under the color of law and/or under color of official authority and/or in conspiracy on behalf of those acting under color of official authority, and were inflicted deliberately and intentionally.
- 33. Defendants participated in, directed and/or authorized the tortuous conduct resulting from the unlawful conspiracy to perpetuate the crimes of kidnapping, human trafficking, and torture.
- 34. The Defendants' actions violated international law and were outside the legitimate scope of any duties as any official of any government.
- 35. At all times relevant to this Complaint, kidnapping, torture, and human trafficking were illegal according to customary international law as well as the laws of Colombia and the United States.

- 36. The acts described herein were inflicted deliberately and intentionally.
- 37. The acts and injuries to Plaintiff described herein were part of a pattern and practice of systematic human rights violations designed, ordered, implemented and/or directed by Defendants and/or their agents.
- 38. FARC and ELN entered into a conspiracy with the criminal intent to raise illicit funds through, *inter alia*, international kidnapping and hostage taking, drug trafficking, and human trafficking. This arrangement shall be referred to herein as the FARC/ELN international conspiracy.
- 39. FARC and ELN both directly committed the acts alleged herein and gave knowledge and practical assistance, each to the other, to commit the acts alleged herein by entering into the FARC/ELN international conspiracy with the intent of raising funds through, *interalia*, international kidnapping and hostage taking, drug trafficking, and human trafficking.

COUNT I

INTERNATIONAL HOSTAGE-TAKING AND TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS IN VIOLATION OF THE LAW OF NATIONS

- 40. All prior paragraphs are incorporated herein.
- 41. FARC and ELN committed international hostage-taking and trafficking in persons in violation of the law of nations against John Doe.
- 42. The acts of hostage-taking described herein constitute a violation of the law of nations as reflected, expressed, defined and

codified in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.

- 43. International hostage-taking is a well-recognized violation of international law. Norms against international kidnapping are universal, obligatory and specific.
- 44. International hostage-taking is of international mutual concern among nations.
- 45. International hostage-taking was codified as a well-recognized violation of international law by the International Convention Against the Taking of Hostages, G.A. Res. 146 (XXXIV), U.N. GAOR, 34th Sess., Supp. No. 46, at 245, U.N. Doc. A/34/46 (1979), entered into force June 3, 1983.
 - 46. The preamble to this convention states:

That the taking of hostages is an offence of grave concern to the international community and that, in accordance with the provisions of this Convention, any person committing an act of hostage taking shall either be prosecuted or extradited.

47. Said convention recognizes that the offense is of international character and of grave mutual concern and so outlaws it whether the perpetrators or victims are individuals or states. It reads:

Any person who seizes or detains and threatens to kill, to injure or to continue to detain another person (hereinafter referred to as the "hostage") in order to compel a third party, namely, a State, an international intergovernmental organization, a natural or juridical person, or a group of persons, to do or abstain from doing any act as an explicit or implicit condition for the release of the hostage commits the offence of taking of hostages ("hostage-taking") within the meaning of this Convention.

48. Defendants' actions also constitute international trafficking in persons. The acts of trafficking in persons described herein constitute a violation of the law of nations as reflected, expressed, defined and codified in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.

COUNT II—TORTURE

- 49. All prior paragraphs are incorporated herein.
- 50. FARC and ELN committed torture in violation of the law of nations against John Doe.
- 51. Torture is a well-recognized violation when committed by a state or quasi-state. FARC and ELN are quasi-states or together constitute quasi-states.
- 52. The torture described herein was carried out by or at the instigation, under the control or authority, or with the consent or acquiescence of the governing officials of FARC and ELN.
- 53. The acts described herein caused Plaintiff to suffer severed physical and mental pain and suffering.
- 54. The acts described herein were inflicted deliberately and intentionally for purposes that include, among others, obtaining information or a confession (in this case, that Plaintiff was the mayor his captors mistook him for), punishing the victim, intimidating the victim or third persons (in this case, the residents).
- 55. The acts described herein were committed under the actual or apparent authority of a quasi-state entity.

56. The acts of torture described herein constitute a violation of the law of nations as reflected, expressed, defined and codified in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.

COUNT III—CIVIL RICO

- 57. All prior paragraphs are incorporated herein.
- 58. FARC and ELN engaged in an international criminal conspiracy referenced herein as the FARC/ELN international conspiracy.
 - 59. ELN AND FARC are enterprises engaged in foreign commerce.
- 60. Defendants are engaged in interstate acts of commerce and the acts alleged herein have a potential effect on commerce.
- 61. Over a period of years, Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(b), through a pattern of racketeering activity, has acquired or maintained an interest in or control of the FARC/ELN international conspiracy, which are engaged in or affect interstate commerce.
- 62. Over a period of years, Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and (d), conspired to and did conduct the affairs of the FARC/ELN international conspiracy through a pattern of racketeering activities.
- 63. Over a period of years, Defendants, with joint venturers, coconspirators or agents, conducted or participated directly or indirectly in the conduct of the partnership joint venture through a pattern of

racketeering activity within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1862(c).

- 64. In particular, the conduct of Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, in trafficking Plaintiff and others, constitutes a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1590, which constitutes racketeering activity according to 18 U.S.C. § 1961 (1)(B) and specifically its reference to acts prohibited by 18 U.S.C. § 1581-1591 (relating to peonage, slavery and trafficking in persons.
- 65. Further, the conduct of Defendants, with joint venturers, coconspirators or agents, in threatening to murder Plaintiff constitutes racketeering activity as defined in 18 U.S.C. 1961 (1)(A).
- 66. Further, the conduct of Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, in forcing Plaintiff's family to surrender a ransom and in forcing Plaintiff to do their bidding through fear, intimidation, and threats of violence, constitutes a pattern of extortion, which is enumerated as one of the racketeering activities in both 18 U.S.C. § 1961 (1)(A) and 18 U.S.C. § 1961 (1)(B) and specifically its reference to 18 U.S.C. § 1951, otherwise known as the Hobbs Act.
- 67. Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, engaged in such conduct in order to obtain profits for the enterprise through a considered disregard for Plaintiff.
 - 68. Plaintiff was injured by the loss of his livelihood.

69. Defendants, with joint venturers, co-conspirators or agents, through their participation in the FARC/ELN international conspiracy caused a substantial effect on the United States.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment in his favor against Defendants and demands damages in the amount of \$100,000,000.00 arising out of Defendants kidnapping and hostage-taking, torture, and for loss of property or income plus interest, costs, and such other monetary and equitable relief, including punitive damages to deter Defendants' criminal activities.

Respectfully submitted,

GROSSMAN ROTH, P.A.

2525 Ponce de Leon Boulevard

Ste. 1150

Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Telephone: (305) 442-8666 Facsimile: (305) 285-468

By:

Seth E. Miles

Florida Bar No. 385530 sem@grossmanroth.com

DO CAMPO & THORNTON, P.A.

John Thornton Florida Bar No. 004820 Orlando do Campo Florida Bar No. 156582 Bank of America Tower 100 S.E. 2nd Street, Ste. 2700 Miami, Florida 33131

Telephone: (305) 358-6600 Facsimile: (305) 358-6601

Case 1:109 as 2 115115 - PROPRES 520 tulinger 1D die 1 um Enter 0 et al. or Fifte dis 102/2010/lifet 0 15 at 104 2 1051 of 1 17 age 1 of 2

SJS 44 (Rev. 2/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

NOTICE: Attorneys MUST Indicate All Re-filed Cases Below.

the civil docket sheet. (Bit in	STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERS	E OF THE PORM.)	11011	CE. Intorneys 11105	I maicate mi Re mea e	Maca Delou.
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS		
JOHN DOE				EJERCITO DE LIBERACION NACIONAL, a/k/a ELN, a/k/a NATIONAL LIBERAL ARMY, ETC.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff DADE COUNTY (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT		
Seth E. Miles, Esq., GROSSMAN ROTH, P.A., 2525 Ponce De Leon				LAND INVOLVED.		
Blvd., Suite 1150, Coral Gables, Florida. Telephone (305) 442-866				Attorneys (If Known)		
(d) Check County Where Actio	n Arose: I MIAMI-DADE I	MONROE D BRO	WARD 🗇	PALM BEACH O MAI	RTIN O ST. LUCIE O INDI.	AN RIVER OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
J 1 U.S. Government		a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	√□ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 of Business In Another State			
				n or Subject of a deign Country	3 √□ 3 Foreign Nation	O 6 O 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		3	Trans	EITURE/PENALTY	D I STAID TIPE CAL	OTHER ON LAND
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Œ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJUR 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & O	RY	0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 10 Other Labor Litigation	BANKRUPTCY □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ft) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Titte XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
	tate Court (see	filed- e VI below)	Reop	ened Sanothe		n Judgment
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	(See instructions) Re-filed Case □ JDGE	YES 🗆	NO b) Relat	ed Cases	
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute diversity):	e under which you a	re filing ar	nd Write a Brief Stateme	nt of Cause (Do not cite juri	sdictional statutes unless
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON 28 U.S.C. 1331(fed Plaintiff was taken a LENGTH OF TRIAL via	against his will.	tortured		e)	
VIII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A UNDER F.R.C.P. 23) DE	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: (2) Yes [7] No
ABOVE INFORMATION IS THE BEST OF MY KNOWN		SIGNATURE OF	TTORNEY	OF RECORD	DATE	
		7/ 4		FOR OF	FICE USE ONLY	- William Control
				AMOUNT	RECEIPT #	1FP

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
 - (d) Choose one County where Action Arose.
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States District Courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Refiled (3) Attach copy of Order for Dismissal of Previous case. Also complete VI.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Related/Refiled Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases or re-filed cases. Insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judges name for such cases.

VII. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:
U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VIII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.